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The inclusion of molluscan diseases in the Bluebook represents a departure into a relatively less studied area of animal medicine in which the biology of the host animals is substantially unlike fish. Nonetheless, the same principles of health management, including diagnosis, apply. Until recently, the tools of investigation available for application to the study of natural and experimental diseases were largely descriptive, consisting of morphological pathology. While many important advances have been made, the study of viral diseases and many basic areas of physiology have lagged as a result of little development in the areas of cell and tissue culture. Invertebrates do not produce antibodies as we know them in fish, so while vertebrate antibodies can be used to detect antigens in invertebrates, we do not find the same record of infectious agent exposure available by antibody detection as is possible in many of the diseases of higher animal.

As the commercial cultivation of molluscs has increased, there has been increasing activity in the study of basic mechanisms of diseases of these animals, using contemporary tools. Most of these activities are so new that their benefits are not yet available to apply to the practical diagnosis of molluscan diseases. Thus, many diagnoses will rely on histological evaluation of tissues. This is both a strength and a limitation. The strength is that the field of molluscan pathology is relatively well founded in an understanding of the pathogenesis of diseases by virtue of morphological studies. The limitation is the relative lack of quantitative methods for identifying and enumerating infectious microorganisms and the relative lack of ability to construct a history of prior exposure or carrier status of animals.

Clinical signs of the diseases are listed, although, these are rarely pathognomonic for the specific disease. They are included, however, since they offer some guidance to the alert diagnostician and help form a list of differential diagnoses. It is worth reminding the diagnostician that the formation of presumptive and confirmatory diagnoses is relative to the methodologies available and the knowledge base regarding a particular disease. Thus, many of the confirmatory diagnoses are based on histological observation. In some cases, where the disease is distinctive, this is a defensible approach and may remain the definitive method even when other technologies are available. However, in other cases, histological diagnosis is not definitive but must suffice until more advanced methods are available.